

September 25, 2026

Mr. Steve Copp
Mirror Nova Scotia Limited
600 Otter Lake Drive
Lakeside, NS B3T 2E2

Dear Mr. Copp,

Re: August 2026 Performance Audit
Otter Lake Waste Processing & Disposal Facility

In August 2026, Strum Consulting was retained by Mirror Nova Scotia Limited (Mirror) to oversee a Performance Audit at the Otter Lake Waste Processing & Disposal Facility (Otter Lake) located at 600 Otter Lake Drive in Lakeside, NS.

The purpose of the Quarterly Performance Audit is to characterize the incoming residential waste stream and assess the percentage of compostable waste in this stream by mass. The audit also captures the incoming percentage of small white goods and household hazardous waste (HHW). This report provides a summary of the Performance Audit completed on August 26, 2026.

SUMMARY

Based on 10 samples collected during the August 2026 Performance Audit, the total compostable waste percentage per area ranged from a minimum of 2.89% to a maximum of 10.71%. The total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage for the August 2026 Audit is calculated to be 5.47%.

Using the combined data collected during the May 2026 and August 2026 Performance Audits, the total compostable waste percentage ranged from a minimum of 5.98% to a maximum of 17.99%. For the two quarterly audits completed to date, using the calculated 95% confidence interval, the percentage of Estimated Annual Compostable Waste is calculated to be between 4.63% and 15.03%, with a total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage value of 9.83%. The long-term cumulative goal (i.e., Performance Target) for Otter Lake includes compostable waste not exceeding 10% of the total amount of municipal solid waste landfilled, by mass.

As additional sampling will be completed during future quarterly audits, it is expected that the statistical data will vary as more audit data becomes available.

BACKGROUND

In March 2022, Nova Scotia Environment & Climate Change (NSECC) issued an updated Municipal Approval for Otter Lake, allowing the Front End Processor and Waste Stabilization Facility (FEP/WSF) to be deactivated upon the submission and acceptance of a Compliance Plan in accordance with the Approval requirements.

As per the Approval, the Performance Targets for Otter Lake include (but are not limited to) a long-term goal of compostable waste not exceeding 10% of the total amount of municipal solid waste landfilled, by mass. In September 2023, NSECC approved the following timeline for working towards this long-term Performance Target of maximum per cent compostable waste in the garbage stream:

- March 31, 2024 - 11.61% Compostable Waste
- March 31, 2025 - 10.81% Compostable Waste
- March 31, 2026 - 10.00% Compostable Waste

As the compostable waste percentage continues to decrease year on year, quarterly audits will continue and work towards the long-term Performance Target of 10.00%.

The Compliance Plan outlines how Quarterly Performance Audits will be completed as a means to quantify the presence of compostable waste being received in the residential waste stream at Otter Lake. Small white goods and HHW were added to the audits based on comments received from NSECC after their review of the draft Compliance Plan.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology followed for the August 2026 Performance Audit reflects best practices identified in the Divert NS Waste Audit Manual and Field Procedures Guide (2017), as well as site specific processes established by Halifax Regional Municipality (HRM) and is summarized below.

Sample Load Identification

Residential curbside collection is divided into eight collection areas in HRM and condominium properties which are also considered to be residential. The geographic descriptions of the various areas are described in Table A, below.

Table A: Collection Area Descriptions

Waste Collection Area	Area Description
1	Halifax (former city limits); Spryfield
2	Dartmouth (former city limits)
3	Bedford; Hammonds Plains; Pockwock
4	Beechville-Timberlea; Herring Cove; Prospect; Peggy's Cove; St. Margaret's Bay to Hubbards
5	Sackville; Beaver Bank; Fall River; Waverley, Wellington; Dutch Settlement
6	Cole Harbour; Westphal; Cherry Brook; Eastern Passage; Cow Bay
7	Porters Lake; Lawrencetown; Chezzetcook; Lake Echo; Preston
8	Middle Musquodoboit; Musquodoboit Harbour; Elderbank; Sheet Harbour; Eastern Shore
Condos	Multi-residential style properties located in various communities

Based on residential curbside collection schedules for each specific collection area and the scheduled audit date and time, sample loads are selected ahead of time by HRM staff. A random number generator is used to choose which vehicle will be sampled.

The Alberta Provincial Waste Characterization Framework (2005) was reviewed and used to guide the number and weight of the samples to be collected. A minimum annual sample number of 40 samples is recommended, and as such, two samples were collected from collection Area 5 and one sample from all other curbside collection areas (Areas 1 – 4, 6 – 7, and Condos). To avoid skewing the annual data, any duplicate samples are averaged to give a single value per area for each audit.

The selected loads were visually inspected at the tip face upon arrival and photographs were taken as shown in the attached photo log (Attachment 1). The following information was recorded for each load:

- Collection vehicle and route numbers
- Date/Time of arrival
- Date/Time sample taken
- Gross and tare weight of truck
- Weight of sample
- Number/type of bulky items observed
- Names of persons taking the sample
- Date/Time of sorting

Sample Size

Photographs of the auditing process are provided as Attachment 1. Once emptied from the vehicle, multiple sections of the load were selected in order to draw a sample that was representative of the load. Each sample was to contain a mix of clear and black bags. Containers shown in Photo 1 (Attachment 1) were used to collect a sample between 90 and 135 kg.

Records documenting the identifying information of each vehicle sampled (scale tickets - Attachment 2) and the Performance Audit Record field data sheets (Attachment 3) are also attached to this report.

Material Categories

The categories that were used to define the different types of compostable waste are consistent with the Approval and are outlined below in Table B.

Table B: Compostable Waste Sorting Categories

Category	Sub-Category	Examples
Fibre	Newsprint/Paper	The Chronicle Herald, The Coast, Masthead News, The Cobequid/Dartmouth/Cole Harbour Wire, flyers
	Corrugated Cardboard/Boxboard	Consumer boxes (e.g., from appliances, storage, filing, and shipping)
Organics	Food Waste (Putrescible)	Whole vegetables, fruit, meat, fish, leftover food waste, eggshells, peels, oils, bones, fat, packaged food (if most of it consists of food)
	Yard Waste	Grass, leaves, brush, branches, wood chips, soil

Materials which did not fall into one of the above noted categories were counted, weighed, and categorized as one of the following:

- Other garbage
- HHW including lead-acid (automotive) batteries, post-consumer paint products, ethylene glycol, used oil, used glycol, used oil filters, glycol containers, and oil containers.
- Small white goods (items such as toasters, microwaves, and coffee makers that would be mostly composed of metal materials that can be disposed of in garbage bags). It should be noted that the majority of small white goods are not marketable from a recycling perspective.

Sorting Procedure

The sorting team consisted of several Mirror staff. All staff were briefed on the sorting protocols, including familiarity with example materials for each sorting category. Strum staff were designated as “Lead” and responsible for quality control and data collection.

The audit space consisted of an open area set up with tables for sorting waste materials, containers clearly labeled for each of the waste categories, and digital scales for weighing the waste materials. The containers used for sorting were weighed prior to commencing the audit and recorded on the data sheets to allow for net sample weights to be determined.

To maintain consistency, the Lead was responsible for weighing and recording the data on dedicated data sheets for each area, each time a container was filled. The process continued for each respective area until the full sample was properly sorted and weighed.

PREVIOUS ASSESSMENTS

A baseline was developed through previous Performance Audits that were completed for the 2022/2023 fiscal year in May 2022 (report dated June 22, 2022), August 2022 (report dated November 4, 2022), November 2022 (report dated February 2, 2023), and February 2023 (report dated April 6, 2023). Using the combined data collected during the 2022/2023 quarterly Performance Audits, the total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage value of 12.41% was found.

Performance Audits for the 2023/2024 fiscal year began in May 2023 (report dated June 26, 2023), with additional audits completed in August 2023 (report dated October 30, 2023), November 2023 (report dated January 9, 2024), and February 2024 (report dated March 18, 2024). Using the combined data collected during the 2023/2024 quarterly Performance Audits, the total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage value of 11.64% was found.

Performance Audits for the 2024/2025 fiscal year began in May 2024 (report dated June 18, 2024), with additional audits completed in August 2024 (report dated September 24, 2024), and November 2024 (report dated December 4, 2024), and February 2025 (report dated March 17, 2025). Using the combined data collected during the 2024/2025 quarterly Performance Audits, the total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage value of 11.50% was found.

Performance Audits for the 2025/2026 fiscal year began in May 2025 (report dated June 27, 2025), with additional audits completed in August 2025 (report dated October 6, 2025), November 2025 (report dated January 6, 2026), and February 2026 (report dated March 23, 2026). Using the combined data collected during the 2025/2026 quarterly Performance Audits, the total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage value of 10.35% was found.

Performance Audits for the 2026/2027 fiscal year began in May 2026 (report dated July 23, 2026). During the May 2026 audit, the total compostable waste percentage ranged from a minimum of 6.11% to a maximum of 29.10%. Using the calculated 95% confidence interval, the percentage of Estimated Annual Compostable Waste was calculated to be between 3.75% and 23.65%, with a total weighted Compostable Waste Percentage value of 13.70% found for the first quarter.

AUGUST 2026 PERFORMANCE AUDIT SUMMARY

A summary of the August 2026 Performance Audit completed at Otter Lake is provided below in Table C. The August 2026 Performance Audit field data sheets containing the data collected respective to each waste collection area during the audit are attached to this report as Attachment 3.

Table C: August 2026 Performance Audit Results

Waste Collection Area	Category Percentage (%)							
	Garbage/Residue	HHW	Small White Goods	Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	Fibre - Corrugated Cardboard	Organics - Food/Putrescible Waste	Organics - Yard Waste	Total Compostable Waste
1	88.04%	0.38%	0.71%	3.26%	2.61%	4.84%	0.00%	10.71%
2	92.78%	0.00%	0.00%	2.01%	0.31%	4.23%	0.00%	6.55%
3	96.20%	0.00%	0.00%	2.32%	0.80%	1.10%	0.00%	4.22%
4	92.47%	0.00%	0.00%	1.77%	1.18%	3.82%	0.22%	6.99%
5A	88.27%	0.12%	5.68%	1.48%	1.11%	1.67%	0.06%	4.32%
5B	98.32%	0.45%	0.39%	0.78%	0.67%	0.00%	0.00%	1.45%
6	93.94%	0.00%	2.42%	0.97%	0.61%	2.18%	0.00%	3.76%
7	94.96%	0.04%	0.08%	2.09%	2.02%	0.58%	0.00%	4.69%
8	94.64%	0.00%	1.52%	1.88%	1.88%	0.00%	0.00%	3.75%
Condos	93.53%	0.10%	0.00%	0.60%	2.89%	2.24%	0.00%	5.72%

Notes:

1. Total compostable waste percentage based on aggregate of four compostable waste category percentages.

Using the data in Table C above, the total compostable waste percentage ranged from a minimum of 1.45% (Area 5B) to a maximum of 10.71% (Area 1), based on the 10 samples collected during the August 2026 Performance Audit.

Average Compostable Waste

A summary of the Total Compostable Waste percentage for the May 2026 and August 2026 Performance Audits completed at Otter Lake is provided below in Table D. Using this data, the Average Total Compostable Waste percentage was calculated for each area.

Table D: Average Total Compostable Waste

Waste Collection Area	Three Year Waste Average (Tonnes)	May 2026 Total Compostable Waste	August 2026 Total Compostable Waste	Average Total Compostable Waste Per Area
1	9942.79	25.28%	10.71%	17.99%
2	6626.90	6.11%	6.55%	6.33%
3	4464.10	7.74%*	4.22%	5.98%
4	5318.23	6.55%	6.99%	6.77%
5	8584.02	10.71%	2.89%**	6.80%
6	5055.07	12.54%	3.76%	8.15%
7	2807.73	14.83%	4.69%	9.76%
8	3205.33	11.60%	3.75%	7.67%
Condos	2273.82	29.10%	5.72%	17.41%

Notes:

1. Data used to calculate three-year average provided by Mirror and included tonnage from the fiscal years 2023/2024, 2024/2025, and 2025/2026.
2. * May 2026 Total Compostable Waste percentage for Area 3 is based on average of the two samples (3A and 3B) collected during the May 2026 waste audit.
3. ** August 2026 Total Compostable Waste percentage for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Based on the data in Table D above, the average total compostable waste percentage ranges from a minimum of 5.98% (Area 3) to a maximum of 17.99% (Area 1).

OVERALL COMPOSTABLE WASTE

August 2026

As shown in Table E below, given the August 2026 total compostable waste percentage per area and the three-year average of waste tonnage per area, the estimated compostable waste tonnage per year has been calculated. Using the total of the Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (2878.13 tonnes) and the three-year waste average total (48277.99 tonnes), the weighted Compostable Waste Percentage is calculated to be 5.96%. Supporting data is provided as Table 1 (Attachment 4).

Table E: Estimated Annual Compostable Waste based on August 2026 Data

Waste Collection Area	Three Year Waste Average (Tonnes)	August 2026 Total Compostable Waste	Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (Tonnes)
1	9942.79	10.71%	1064.53
2	6626.90	6.55%	433.82
3	4464.10	4.22%	188.36
4	5318.23	6.99%	371.70
5	8584.02	2.89%*	247.80
6	5055.07	3.76%	189.95
7	2807.73	4.69%	131.68
8	3205.33	3.75%	120.20
Condos	2273.82	5.72%	130.09
Total	48277.99	N/A	2878.13
Compostable Waste Percentage = (2878.13/48277.99) X 100 = 5.96%			

Notes:

1. Data used to calculate three-year average provided by Mirror and included tonnage from the fiscal years 2023/2024, 2024/2025, and 2025/2026.
2. * August 2026 Total Compostable Waste percentage for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Based on the data in Table E above, the Estimated Annual Compostable Waste per area ranges from a minimum of 120.20 tonnes (Area 8) to a maximum of 1064.53 tonnes (Area 1).

May 2026 and August 2026

As shown in Table F below, given the average (May 2026 and August 2026) total compostable waste percentage per area and the three-year average of waste tonnage per area, the estimated compostable waste tonnage per year has been calculated. Using the totals of the Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (4746.76 tonnes) and the three-year waste average total (48,277.99 tonnes), the weighted Compostable Waste Percentage is calculated to be 9.83%. Supporting data is provided as Table 2 (Attachment 4).

Table F: Estimated Annual Compostable Waste – May 2026 and August 2026

Waste Collection Area	Three Year Waste Average (Tonnes)	Three Year Waste Average (% Total)	Average Total Compostable % Per Area	Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (Tonnes)
1	9942.79	20.59%	17.99%	1789.18
2	6626.90	13.73%	6.33%	419.40
3	4464.10	9.25%	5.98%	266.90
4	5318.23	11.02%	6.77%	360.07
5	8584.02	17.78%	6.80%	583.36
6	5055.07	10.47%	8.15%	411.92
7	2807.73	5.82%	9.76%	274.10
8	3205.33	6.64%	7.67%	245.98
Condos	2273.82	4.71%	17.41%	395.85
Total	48277.99	100.00%	N/A	4746.76
Compostable Waste Percentage = (4746.76/48277.99) X 100 = 9.83%				

Notes:

1. Data used to calculate three-year average provided by Mirror and included tonnage from the fiscal years 2023/2024, 2024/2025, and 2025/2026.

Based on the data in Table F above, the Estimated Annual Compostable Waste per area ranges from a minimum of 245.98 tonnes (Area 8) to a maximum of 1789.18 tonnes (Area 1), with a mean of 527.42 tonnes.

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

A descriptive statistical analysis was completed on the Estimated Annual Compostable Waste tonnage and the Estimated Annual Food/Putrescible Waste calculated per area from the August 2026 Performance Audit. The statistical analysis was completed using the Microsoft Excel Analysis ToolPak Descriptive Statistics analysis tool. Supporting data for the statistical analysis is provided as Tables 1 – 8 (Attachment 4).

Compostable Waste

At 95% confidence interval, the Estimated Average Annual Compostable Waste tonnage per area is calculated to be between 248.34 tonnes (lower bound) and 806.49 tonnes (upper bound). The confidence interval was calculated by subtracting/adding the calculated 95% confidence level (279.08) from the mean (527.42 tonnes).

By multiplying the lower bound (248.34 tonnes) and the upper bound (806.49 tonnes) of the 95% confidence interval by nine (for each area), the Total Estimated Annual Compostable Waste would have a calculated range from 2235.08 tonnes to 7258.45 tonnes. By dividing the lower and upper range of the Total Estimated Annual Compostable Waste by the three-year waste average total (48277.99 tonnes), and multiplying the values by 100%, the percentage of Estimated Annual Compostable Waste is calculated to be between 4.63% and 15.03%.

Food/Putrescible Waste

At 95% confidence interval, the Estimated Average Annual Food/Putrescible Waste tonnage per area is calculated to be between 117.91 tonnes (lower bound) and 367.49 tonnes (upper bound). The confidence interval was calculated by subtracting/adding the calculated 95% confidence level (124.79) from the mean (242.70 tonnes).

By multiplying the lower bound (117.91 tonnes) and the upper bound (367.49 tonnes) of the 95% confidence interval by nine (for each area), the Total Estimated Annual Food/Putrescible Waste would have a calculated range from 1061.16 tonnes to 3307.38 tonnes. By dividing the lower and upper range of the Total Estimated Annual Food/Putrescible Waste by the three-year waste average total (48277.99 tonnes), and multiplying the values by 100%, the percentage of Estimated Annual Food/Putrescible Waste is calculated to be between 2.19% and 6.85%. The estimated annual Food Waste percentage is calculated to be 4.52%.

The above noted statistical analyses are based on a total of 20 samples collected during the May and August 2026 Performance Audits. As additional sampling will be completed during future audits, it is expected that the statistical data will vary as more data becomes available.

CLOSURE

This report was prepared by Callum Drever, MIT, Junior Environmental Geoscientist, and was reviewed by James Foley, P.Geo., Senior Environmental Geoscientist. Should additional information become available, Strum requests that this information be brought to our attention immediately so that we can reassess the conclusions presented in this report.

This Report and any use of the Report is subject to the terms herein (see attached Statement of Qualifications and Limitations).

If you have any questions, please contact us.

Thank you,



Callum Drever, MIT
Junior Environmental Geoscientist
Site Assessment & Remediation
cdrever@strum.com



James Foley, P.Geo.
Senior Environmental Geoscientist
Site Assessment & Remediation
jfoley@strum.com

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

This Report (the “Report”) has been prepared by Strum Consulting (“Consultant”) for the benefit of Mirror Nova Scotia Limited (“Client”) in accordance with the agreement between Consultant and Client, including the scope of work detailed therein (the “Agreement”).

The information, data, recommendations, and conclusions contained in the Report (collectively, the “Information”):

- is subject to the scope, schedule, and other constraints and limitations in the Agreement and the qualifications contained in the Report (the “Limitations”)
- represents Consultant’s professional judgement in light of the Limitations and industry standards for the preparation of similar reports
- may be based on information provided to consultant which has not been independently verified
- has not been updated since the date of issuance of the Report and its accuracy is limited to the time period and circumstances in which it was collected, processed, made or issued
- must be read as a whole and sections thereof should not be read out of such context
- was prepared for the specific purposes described in the Report and the Agreement
- in the case of subsurface, environmental, or geotechnical conditions, may be based on limited testing and on the assumption that such conditions are uniform and not variable either geographically or over time

Consultant shall be entitled to rely upon the accuracy and completeness of information that was provided and has no obligation to update such information. Consultant accepts no responsibility for any events or circumstances that may have occurred since the date on which the Report was prepared and, in the case of subsurface, environmental, or geotechnical conditions, is not responsible for any variability in such conditions, geographically or over time.

Consultant agrees that the Report represents its professional judgement as described above and that the Information has been prepared for the specific purpose and use described in the Report and the Agreement, but Consultant makes no other representations, or any guarantees or warranties whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to the Report, the Information or any part thereof.

The Report is to be treated as confidential and may not be used or relied upon by third parties, except:

- as agreed in writing by Consultant and Client
- as required by law
- for use by governmental reviewing agencies

Consultant accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, to parties other than Client who may obtain access to the Report or the Information for any injury, loss, or damage suffered by such parties arising from their use of, reliance upon, or decisions or actions based on the Report or any of the Information (“improper use of the Report”), except to the extent those parties have obtained the prior written consent of Consultant to use and rely upon the Report and the Information. Any damages arising from improper use of the Report or parts thereof shall be borne by the party making such use.

ATTACHMENT 1
PHOTOGRAPH LOG



Photo 1: Waste collection container from HRM collection Area 1.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 2: Newsprint/paper waste collected from HRM collection Area 1.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 3: Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) waste sample of HRM Area 1.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 4: Old, corrugated containers (OCC) waste sorted from HRM collection Area 1.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 5: Waste collection container from HRM collection Area 2.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 6: Newsprint/paper waste sample from HRM collection Area 2.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 7: OCC waste sample collected from HRM collection Area 2.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 8: Food waste sample collected from HRM collection Area 2.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 9: Waste collection container from HRM collection Area 3.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 10: Food waste sample collected from HRM collection Area 3.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 11: OCC waste bin of HRM collection Area 3.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 12: Newsprint/paper waste bin sorted from HRM collection Area 3.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 13: Sorted garbage from HRM collection Area 4.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 14: Food waste sample collected from HRM Area 4.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 15: Newsprint/paper waste bin of HRM collection Area 4.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 16: Yard waste bin sorted from HRM collection Area 4.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 17: Waste collection pile from HRM collection Area 5A.
Photo taken on August 18, 2026.



Photo 18: Newsprint/paper waste audit sample from HRM collection Area 5A.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 19: OCC waste sorted from HRM collection Area 5A.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 20: Small white goods sorted from HRM collection Area 5A.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 21: Waste collection pile collected from HRM Area 5B (extra load).
Photo taken on August 19, 2026.



Photo 22: OCC waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 5B (extra load).
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 23: Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) sorted from HRM collection Area 5B (extra load). Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 24: Paper waste bin sorted from HRM collection Area 5B (extra load).
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 25: Waste collection pile collected from HRM Area 6.
Photo taken on August 21, 2026.



Photo 26: OCC waste bin separated from HRM collection Area 6.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 27: Small white goods waste bin separated from HRM collection Area 6.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 28: Food waste bin separated from HRM collection Area 6.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 29: Waste collection sample from HRM Area 7.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 30: Small white goods waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 7.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 31: OCC waste bin separated from HRM collection Area 7.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 32: Paper waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 7.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 33: Waste collection sample from HRM Area 8.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 34: Paper waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 8.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 35: OCC waste bin separated from HRM collection Area 8.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 36: Small white goods waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 8.
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 37: Waste collection sample from HRM Area 9 (Condos).
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 38: Food waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 9 (Condos).
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 39: Paper waste bin separated from HRM collection Area 9 (Condos).
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.



Photo 40: OCC waste bin sample from HRM collection Area 9 (Condos).
Photo taken on August 26, 2026.

ATTACHMENT 2

SCALE TICKETS

Halifax Regional Municipality

Scale Slip: 113366
08/12/2026 12:38:02
Clerk: Shelley

Vehicle ID: RE6004
License Plate: 38-493-D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: DARTMOUTH

Invoice: 0400927
Royal Environmental Group

Gross Weight: 22,480 (kg)
Tare Weight: 16,200 (kg)
Net Weight: 6,280 (kg)

	\$0.00
Total:	\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Vehicle ID: RE4034
License Plate: 65203D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: COLE HARBOUR/EASTERN PASSAGE
Invoice: 0400927
Royal Environmental Group

Scale Slip: 113611
08/21/2026 11:38:18
Clerk: DMS

Gross Weight: 24,230 (kg) MAN WT
Tare Weight: 16,810 (kg)
Net Weight: 7,420 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Vehicle ID: RE1042
License Plate: 57-422-D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: HALIFAX
Invoice: 0400927
Royal Environmental Group

Scale Slip: 113579
08/20/2026 12:41:10
Clerk: DMS

Gross Weight: 22,750 (kg) MAN WT
Tare Weight: 16,510 (kg)
Net Weight: 6,240 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Scale Slip: 113449
08/14/2026 17:01:25
Clerk: DMS

Vehicle ID: GFL424
License Plate: 65228D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: WESTERN COUNTY
Invoice: 0402150
GFL Environmental Inc

Gross Weight: 22,520 (kg)
Tare Weight: 17,360 (kg)
Net Weight: 5,160 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Scale Slip: 113315
08/10/2026 16:45:42
Clerk: Shelley

Vehicle ID: GFL050
License Plate: 41212D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: HALIFAX CONDOS
Invoice: 0402150
GFL Environmental Inc

Gross Weight: 25,230 (kg)
Tare Weight: 16,150 (kg)
Net Weight: 9,080 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Vehicle ID: RE3013
License Plate: 62795D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: BEDFORD/HAMMONDS PLAINS
Invoice: 0400927
Royal Environmental Group

Scale Slip: 113326
08/11/2026 11:48:32
Clerk: Shelley

Gross Weight: 23,920 (kg)
Tare Weight: 16,690 (kg)
Net Weight: 7,230 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Scale Slip: 113341
08/11/2026 15:16:51
Clerk: Shelley

Vehicle ID: RE7001
License Plate: 57-460-D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: EASTERN COUNTY
Invoice: 0028092
EASTERN SHORE CARTAGE

Gross Weight: 24,020 (kg)
Tare Weight: 17,730 (kg)
Net Weight: 6,290 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Scale Slip: 113356
08/12/2026 07:23:57
Clerk: Shelley

Vehicle ID: MW9519
License Plate: 56245D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: PRESTON/LAWRENCETOWN/LK ECHO
Invoice: 0188466
MILLER WASTE SYSTEMS

Gross Weight: 28,580 (kg)
Tare Weight: 17,320 (kg)
Net Weight: 11,260 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Vehicle ID: RE1032
License Plate: 56-927-D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: HALIFAX
Invoice: 0400927
Royal Environmental Group

Scale Slip: 113503
08/18/2026 12:54:35
Clerk: Shelley

Gross Weight: 25,030 (kg)
Tare Weight: 16,670 (kg)
Net Weight: 8,360 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

Halifax Regional Municipality

Vehicle ID: RE3013
License Plate: 62795D
Waste Type: residential
Origin: SACKVILLE/FALL RIVER
Invoice: 0400927
Royal Environmental Group

Scale Slip: 113546
08/19/2026 13:08:48
Clerk: Shelley

Gross Weight: 24,390 (kg) MAN WT
Tare Weight: 16,610 (kg)
Net Weight: 7,780 (kg)

Total: \$0.00
\$0.00

ATTACHMENT 3
FIELD DATA SHEETS

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

1

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information

Truck Number/ID	RE1042
Collection Area	Halifax
Date	08/20/2026
Ticket Time	12:41:10
Gross Weight	22,750 KG
Tare Weight	16,510 KG
Net Weight	6,240 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

142.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

50.5 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

92.0 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

8:45 AM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

9:30 AM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	50.5	131.5	-	81.0	88.04%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	1.10	4.10	-	3.00	3.26%
Fibre - OCC	2.20	1.60	3.00	2.40	2.61%
Food/Putrescible Waste	1.10	5.55	-	4.45	4.84%
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	1.10	1.45	-	0.35	0.38%
Small White Goods	1.10	1.75	-	0.65	0.71%
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.35	
	142.0				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

2

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information

Truck Number/ID	RE6004
Collection Area	Dartmouth
Date	08/12/2026
Ticket Time	12:38:02
Gross Weight	22,480 KG
Tare Weight	16,200 KG
Net Weight	6,280 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

146.0 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

49.0 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

97.0 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

11:45 AM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

12:45 PM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	49.0	139.0	-	90.0	92.78%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	2.20	2.70	1.45	1.95	2.01%
Fibre - OCC	1.10	1.40	-	0.30	0.31%
Food/Putrescible Waste	1.10	5.20	-	4.10	4.23%
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	-	-	-	-	-
Small White Goods	-	-	-	-	-
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.34	
	145.5				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

3

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information	
Truck Number/ID	RE3013
Collection Area	Bedford/Hammonds Plains
Date	08/11/2026
Ticket Time	11:48:32
Gross Weight	23,920 KG
Tare Weight	16,690 KG
Net Weight	7,230 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

168.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

50.0 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

118.5 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

1:50 PM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

2:20 PM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	50.0	164.0	-	114.0	96.20%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	2.2	1.80	3.15	2.75	2.32%
Fibre - OCC	1.1	2.05	-	0.95	0.80%
Food/Putrescible Waste	2.2	1.80	1.70	1.30	1.10%
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	-	-	-	-	-
Small White Goods	-	-	-	-	-
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			0.30	
	169.0				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

4

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information

Truck Number/ID	GFL424
Collection Area	Western County
Date	08/14/2026
Ticket Time	17:01:25
Gross Weight	22,520 KG
Tare Weight	17,360 KG
Net Weight	5,160 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

144.0 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

51.0 KG

Net Sample of Trash

93.0 KG

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Sample Audit Time Started

9:35 AM

Sample Audit Time Completed

9:55 AM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	51.0	137.0	-	86.0	92.47%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	1.1	2.75	-	1.65	1.77%
Fibre - OCC	1.1	2.20	-	1.10	1.18%
Food/Putrescible Waste	2.2	2.75	3.00	3.55	3.82%
Yard Waste	1.1	1.30	-	0.20	0.22%
HHW	-	-	-	-	-
Small White Goods	-	-	-	-	-
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.35	
	143.5				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

5A

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information

Truck Number/ID	RE1032
Collection Area	Sackville/ Fall River
Date	08/18/2026
Ticket Time	12:54:35
Gross Weight	25,030 KG
Tare Weight	16,670 KG
Net Weight	8,360 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

134.0 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

53.0 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

81.0 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

10:10 AM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

10:45 AM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	53.0	124.5	-	71.5	88.27%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	2.2	1.20	2.20	1.20	1.48%
Fibre - OCC	1.1	2.00	-	0.90	1.11%
Food/Putrescible Waste	1.1	2.45	-	1.35	1.67%
Yard Waste	1.1	1.15	-	0.05	0.06%
HHW	1.1	1.20	-	0.10	0.12%
Small White Goods	1.1	5.70	-	4.60	5.68%
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.75	
	133.0				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

5B

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information

Truck Number/ID	RE3013
Collection Area	Sackville/ Fall River
Date	08/19/2026
Ticket Time	13:08:48
Gross Weight	24,390 KG
Tare Weight	16,610 KG
Net Weight	7,780 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

139.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

50.0 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

89.5 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

10:50 AM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

11:15 AM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	50.0	138.0	-	88.0	98.32%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	1.10	1.80	-	0.70	0.78%
Fibre - OCC	2.20	1.70	1.10	0.60	0.67%
Food/Putrescible Waste	-	-	-	-	-
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	1.10	1.50	-	0.40	0.45%
Small White Goods	1.10	1.45	-	0.35	0.39%
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			0.36	
	140.0				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

6

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information	
Truck Number/ID	RE4034
Collection Area	Cole Harbour/ Eastern Passage
Date	08/21/2026
Ticket Time	11:38:18
Gross Weight	24,230 KG
Tare Weight	16,810 KG
Net Weight	7,420 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

132.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

50.0 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

82.5 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

11:15 AM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

11:40 AM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	50.0	127.5	-	77.5	93.94%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	1.1	1.90	-	0.80	0.97%
Fibre - OCC	1.1	1.60	-	0.50	0.61%
Food/Putrescible Waste	1.1	2.90	-	1.80	2.18%
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	-	-	-	-	-
Small White Goods	1.1	3.10	-	2.00	2.42%
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			0.00	
	132.5				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

7

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information	
Truck Number/ID	MW9519
Collection Area	Preston/ Lawrencetown/Lake Echo
Date	08/12/2026
Ticket Time	7:23:57
Gross Weight	28,580 KG
Tare Weight	17,320 KG
Net Weight	11,260 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

178.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

49.5 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

129.0 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

12:45 PM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

1:10 PM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	49.5	172.0	-	122.5	94.96%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	2.2	3.40	1.50	2.70	2.09%
Fibre - OCC	1.1	3.70	-	2.60	2.02%
Food/Putrescible Waste	1.1	1.85	-	0.75	0.58%
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	1.1	1.15	-	0.05	0.04%
Small White Goods	1.1	1.20	-	0.10	0.08%
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.28	
	178.0				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

8

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information	
Truck Number/ID	RE7001
Collection Area	Eastern County
Date	08/11/2026
Ticket Time	15:16:51
Gross Weight	24,020 KG
Tare Weight	17,730 KG
Net Weight	6,290 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

160.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

48.5 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

112.0 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

1:15 PM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

1:45 PM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	48.5	154.50	-	106.0	94.64%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	1.1	3.20	-	2.10	1.88%
Fibre - OCC	1.1	3.20	-	2.10	1.88%
Food/Putrescible Waste	-	-	-	-	-
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	-	-	-	-	-
Small White Goods	1.1	2.80	-	1.70	1.52%
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.31	
	160.0				

Notes:

Performance Audit Record

Date

26-Aug-26

Name of Supervisor

Maria MacHattie

Area

Condos

Number of Sorters

4

Weighscale Ticket Information

Truck Number/ID	GFL050
Collection Area	Halifax Condos
Date	08/10/2026
Ticket Time	16:45:42
Gross Weight	25,230 KG
Tare Weight	16,150 KG
Net Weight	9,080 KG

Weight of Gross Sample

146.5 KG

Weight of Tote Bin

46.0 KG

Date of Audit of Sample

26-Aug-26

Net Sample of Trash

100.5 KG

Sample Audit Time Started

2:20 PM

Number of Bulkies Observed

-

Sample Audit Time Completed

2:45 PM

Material	Empty Bin Weight (KG)	Total Separated Sample Weights (KG)		Net Sample (KG)	Compostables (%)
		1	2		
Garbage/Residue	46.0	140.0	-	94.0	93.53%
Fibre - Newsprint/Paper	1.1	1.70	-	0.60	0.60%
Fibre - OCC	2.2	1.70	3.40	2.90	2.89%
Food/Putrescible Waste	2.2	1.45	3.00	2.25	2.24%
Yard Waste	-	-	-	-	-
HHW	1.1	1.20	-	0.10	0.10%
Small White Goods	-	-	-	-	-
Lost or Gained Mass	Combined Weight Following Sorting			-0.68	
	145.5				

Notes:

ATTACHMENT 4

SUPPORTING DATA

Table 1: Total Compostable Waste Percentage Per Area (August 2026)

Project # 22-8641

Waste Collection Area	% Organics From August 26, 2026 Waste Audit	Average Based On Previous Three Fiscal Years (Tonnes)	Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (Tonnes)
1	10.71%	9942.79	1064.53
2	6.55%	6626.90	433.82
3	4.22%	4464.10	188.36
4	6.99%	5318.23	371.70
5	2.89%	8584.02	247.80
6	3.76%	5055.07	189.95
7	4.69%	2807.73	131.68
8	3.75%	3205.33	120.20
Condos	5.72%	2273.82	130.09
	TOTAL	48277.99	2878.13

Mean	5.47%	-	319.79
Min	2.89%	-	120.20
Max	10.71%	-	1064.53

Compostable Waste Percentage	$(2878.13/48277.99)*100\% = 5.96\%$
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Notes:

1. % Organic for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Table 2: Average Total Compostable Waste Percentage Per Area (May 2026 and August 2026)

Project # 22-8641

Waste Collection Area	% Organics From May 27, 2026 Waste Audit	% Organics From August 26, 2026 Waste Audit	% Organics Average	Average Based On Previous Three Fiscal Years (Tonnes)	Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (Tonnes)
1	25.28%	10.71%	17.99%	9942.79	1789.18
2	6.11%	6.55%	6.33%	6626.90	419.40
3	7.74%	4.22%	5.98%	4464.10	266.90
4	6.55%	6.99%	6.77%	5318.23	360.07
5	10.71%	2.89%	6.80%	8584.02	583.36
6	12.54%	3.76%	8.15%	5055.07	411.92
7	14.83%	4.69%	9.76%	2807.73	274.10
8	11.60%	3.75%	7.67%	3205.33	245.98
Condos	29.10%	5.72%	17.41%	2273.82	395.85
			TOTAL	48277.99	4746.76

Mean	9.65%	-	527.42
Min	5.98%	-	245.98
Max	17.99%	-	1789.18

Compostable Waste Percentage	$(4746.76/48277.99)*100\% = 9.83\%$
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Notes:

1. % Organic for Area 3 is based on average of the two samples (3A and 3B) collected during the May 2026 waste audit.
2. % Organic for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Table 3: Estimated Annual Compostable Waste Per Area

Project # 22-8641

Audit	Waste Collection Area	% Organics	Average Waste Based On Previous Three Fiscal Years (Tonnes)	Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (Tonnes)
May 2026 Performance Audit	1	25.28%	9942.79	2513.84
	2	6.11%	6626.90	404.98
	3	7.74%	4464.10	345.45
	4	6.55%	5318.23	348.44
	5	10.71%	8584.02	918.93
	6	12.54%	5055.07	633.89
	7	14.83%	2807.73	416.51
	8	11.60%	3205.33	371.76
	Condos	29.10%	2273.82	661.60
August 2026 Performance Audit	1	10.71%	9942.79	1064.53
	2	6.55%	6626.90	433.82
	3	4.22%	4464.10	188.36
	4	6.99%	5318.23	371.70
	5	2.89%	8584.02	247.80
	6	3.76%	5055.07	189.95
	7	4.69%	2807.73	131.68
	8	3.75%	3205.33	120.20
	Condos	5.72%	2273.82	130.09
Mean		9.65%	-	527.42
Min		2.89%	-	120.20
Max		29.10%	-	2513.84

Notes:

1. % Organic for Area 3 is based on average of the two samples (3A and 3B) collected during the May 2026 waste audit.
2. % Organic for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Table 4: Compostable Waste Descriptive Statistics (May and August 2026)**Project # 22-8641**

Mean	527.42
Standard Error	132.27
Median	371.73
Mode	#N/A
Standard Deviation	561.20
Sample Variance	314940.07
Kurtosis	9.73
Skewness	2.90
Range	2393.64
Minimum	120.20
Maximum	2513.84
Sum	9493.53
Count	18
Confidence Level(95.0%)	279.08
Upper Confidence Interval	806.49
Lower Confidence Interval	248.34

Table 5: Total Food Waste Percentage Per Area (August 2026)

Project # 22-8641

Waste Collection Area	% Food Waste From August 26, 2026 Waste Audit	Average Based On Previous Three Fiscal Years (Tonnes)	Estimated Annual Food Waste (Tonnes)
1	4.84%	9942.79	480.93
2	4.23%	6626.90	280.11
3	1.10%	4464.10	48.97
4	3.82%	5318.23	203.01
5	0.83%	8584.02	71.53
6	2.18%	5055.07	110.29
7	0.58%	2807.73	16.32
8	0.00%	3205.33	0.00
Condos	2.24%	2273.82	50.91
	TOTAL	48277.99	1262.07

Mean	2.20%	-	140.23
Min	0.00%	-	0.00
Max	4.84%	-	480.93

Food Waste Percentage	$(1262.077/48277.99)*100\% = 2.61\%$
------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Notes:

1. % Food waste for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Table 6: Average Total Food Waste Percentage Per Area (May 2026 and August 2026)

Project # 22-8641

Waste Collection Area	% Food Waste From May 27, 2026 Waste Audit	% Food Waste From August 26, 2026 Waste Audit	% Food Waste Average	Average Based On Previous Three Fiscal Years (Tonnes)	Estimated Annual Food Waste (Tonnes)
1	9.94%	4.84%	7.39%	9942.79	734.48
2	2.93%	4.23%	3.58%	6626.90	237.11
3	4.25%	1.10%	2.67%	4464.10	119.31
4	1.03%	3.82%	2.43%	5318.23	129.01
5	6.41%	0.83%	3.62%	8584.02	310.90
6	3.25%	2.18%	2.72%	5055.07	137.39
7	6.29%	0.58%	3.44%	2807.73	96.48
8	8.31%	0.00%	4.16%	3205.33	133.19
Condos	22.95%	2.24%	12.60%	2273.82	286.39
			TOTAL	48277.99	2184.27

Mean	4.73%	-	242.70
Min	2.43%	-	96.48
Max	12.60%	-	734.48

Food Waste Percentage	$(2184.27/48277.99)*100\% = 4.52\%$
------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Notes:

1. % Food waste for Area 3 is based on average of the two samples (3A and 3B) collected during the May 2026 waste audit.
2. % Food waste for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 5B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Table 7: Estimated Annual Food Waste Per Area

Project # 22-8641

Audit	Waste Collection Area	% Food Waste	Average Waste Based On Previous Three Fiscal Years (Tonnes)	Estimated Annual Compostable Waste (Tonnes)
May 2026 Performance Audit	1	9.94%	9942.79	988.03
	2	2.93%	6626.90	194.12
	3	4.25%	4464.10	189.65
	4	1.03%	5318.23	55.02
	5	6.41%	8584.02	550.26
	6	3.25%	5055.07	164.49
	7	6.29%	2807.73	176.65
	8	8.31%	3205.33	266.38
	Condos	22.95%	2273.82	521.88
August 2026 Performance Audit	1	4.84%	9942.79	480.93
	2	4.23%	6626.90	280.11
	3	1.10%	4464.10	48.97
	4	3.82%	5318.23	203.01
	5	0.83%	8584.02	71.53
	6	2.18%	5055.07	110.29
	7	0.58%	2807.73	16.32
	8	0.00%	3205.33	0.00
	Condos	2.24%	2273.82	50.91
	Mean	4.73%	-	242.70
	Min	0.00%	-	0.00
	Max	22.95%	-	988.03

Notes:

1. % Food waste for Area 3 is based on average of the two samples (3A and 3B) collected during the May 2026 waste audit.
2. % Food waste for Area 5 is based on average of the two samples (5A and 3B) collected during the August 2026 waste audit.

Table 8: Food Waste Descriptive Statistics (May and August 2026)**Project # 22-8641**

Mean	242.70
Standard Error	59.15
Median	183.15
Mode	#N/A
Standard Deviation	250.94
Sample Variance	62970.99
Kurtosis	3.54
Skewness	1.77
Range	988.03
Minimum	0.00
Maximum	988.03
Sum	4368.54
Count	18
Confidence Level(95.0%)	124.79
Upper Confidence Interval	367.49
Lower Confidence Interval	117.91